



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/007,114	12/03/2001	Xiaodong Luo	4320.P057	2142
25096 7	590 02/27/2006		EXAMINER	
PERKINS COIE LLP			JELINEK, BRIAN J	
PATENT-SEA				
P.O. BOX 124	7		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247			2615	
			DATE MAIL FD: 02/27/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/007,114	LUO, XIAODONG				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brian Jelinek	2615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 D</u>	ecember 2001.					
,	,—					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Diamonitian of Claims						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 2/1/2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

This is a first office action in response to application no. 10/007,114 filed on 12/3/2001 in which claims 1-8 are presented for examination.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the specification is missing a description of Fig. 3 in the "Brief Description of the Drawings". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kobayashi et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,388,709).

Regarding claim 1, Kobayashi discloses an image sensor comprising: a plurality of pixels formed into a two-dimensional sensing array, each of said pixels adapted for converting incident light into an electrical signal; and a plurality of color filters formed over said plurality of pixels, said color filters having an opacity that varies in accordance with the intensity of incident light (cols. 19-26).

Regarding claim 2, Kobayashi discloses means for detecting the intensity of said incident light and means for applying an electrical signal to said color filters to vary the opacity (Fig. 22, charge detector 120 and filter controller 122; col. 20, lines 23-29).

Regarding claim 3, Kobayashi discloses that the color filters are formed from a photosensitive material that increases in opacity as the intensity of said incident light increases (col. 20, line 53-col. 21, line 2).

Regarding claim 4, Kobayashi discloses the color filters are comprised of red, green, and blue filters (col. 17, lines 35-49).

Regarding claim 5, Kobayashi discloses a method, comprising: forming a sensing array comprised of a two-dimensional array of pixels, said pixels adapted to convert incident light into an electrical signal; depositing color filters over said array of pixels, said color filters formed from a material that has a variable opacity (cols. 19-26).

Regarding claim 6, Kobayashi discloses the material has an opacity that is dependent upon the intensity of incident light (col. 20, line 53-col. 21, line 2).

Regarding claim 7, Kobayashi discloses the material has opacity that can be controlled by an electrical signal (Fig. 22, filter controller 122; col. 20, lines 23-29).

Regarding claim 8, Kobayashi disclose forming means for detecting the intensity of the incident light (Fig. 22, charge detector 120; col. 20, lines 23-29).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Jelinek whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Application/Control Number: 10/007,114

Art Unit: 2615

7366. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00 am - 5:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ngoc-Yen Vu can be reached at (571) 272-7320. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian Jelinek 2/13/2006

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4